

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 DEREK E GRONQUIST,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 SUSAN COLLINS, et al.,

12 Defendant.

CASE NO. C19-5528-MJP-BAT

**ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION  
OF TIME**

13 Plaintiff has moved for an extension of the pretrial discovery and dispositive motion  
14 deadlines. Dkt. 12. Defendants do not oppose this request. Dkt. 13. Plaintiff's motion (Dkt. 12) is  
15 **GRANTED**. The pretrial scheduling order (Dkt. 11) is amended solely to the extent that the  
16 discovery deadline is extended to **December 26, 2019** and the dispositive motion deadline is  
17 extended to **January 27, 2020**.

18 The Court notes that defendants have now filed a motion for summary judgment (Dkt.  
19 15). In light of defendants' now pending motion for summary judgment, and plaintiff's request  
20 for additional time to conduct discovery, plaintiff is advised that Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d), which  
21 governs summary judgment provides that:

22 If a nonmovant shows by affidavit or declaration that, for specified  
23 reasons, it cannot present facts essential to justify its opposition,  
the court may:

(1) defer consideration of the motion or deny it;

1 (2) allow time to obtain affidavits or declarations or to take  
2 discovery; or  
(3) issue any other appropriate order.

3 Rule 56(d) thus provides plaintiff with the opportunity to set forth the specific facts he needs to  
4 oppose defendants' motion for summary judgment and to request discovery limited to those facts  
5 if plaintiff cannot assert them through affidavits or declarations based upon information he  
6 already possesses.

7 The Clerk is directed to provide a copy of this order to all parties.

8 DATED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2019.

9  
10 

11 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA  
United States Magistrate Judge